

Joarnal of Orthopaedic Research 21 (2003) 984-989

Journal of Orthopaedic Research

www.elsevici.com/locate/orthres

Shock wave therapy induces neovascularization at the tendon-bone junction A study in rabbits

Ching-Jen Wang ^a, Feng-Sheng Wang ^b, Kuender D. Yang ^{b,*}, Lin-Hsiu Weng ^a, Chia-Chen Hsu ^a, Chun-Shun Huang ^c, Lin-Cheng Yang ^d

¹ Department of Orthopseile Surgery, Chang Gung Mentorial Hospital at Kaoholung, 123 To-Pel Road, Niao-Sung Halang.

Kaohsiang 833, Tawan

¹ Department of Medical Research, Chang Gong Memorial Hospital at Knohsiong, 123 Ta-Pei Road, Nuo-Sung Distang, Kaohsiang 833, Tuntan ² Department of Pathology, Chang Gong Memorial Hospital at Knohsiong, 123 Ta-Pei Road, Nino-Sung Histong, Kaohsiong 833, Tuntan

⁴ Department of Anesthesiology: Chang Gung Manarial Horpital at Kaohmung, 123 Ta-Pei Road, Niao-Sung Huang, Kaohsing 333, Taiwan

Received 21 November 2002; accepted 7 April 2003

Abstract

Despite the success in clinical application, the exact mechanism of shock wave therapy remains unknown. We hypothesized that shock wave therapy induces the ingrowth of neovascularization and improves blood supply to the tissues. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of shock wave therapy on neovascularization at the tendon bone junction. Fifty New Zealand white rabbits with body weight ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 kg were used in this study. The right limb (the study side) received shock wave therapy to the Achilles tendon near the insertion to bone. The left limb (the control side) received no shock wave therapy. Biopsics of the tendon-bone junction were performed in 0, 1, 4, 8 and 12 weeks. The number of neo-vessels was examined microscopically with hematoxylin-cosin stain. Neovascularization was confirmed by the angiogenic markers including vessel endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) expressions and endothelial cell proliferation determined by proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) expression examined microscopically with immunohistochemical stains. The results showed that shock wave therapy produced a significantly higher number of neo-vessels and angiogenesis-related markers including eNOS, VEGF and PCNA than the control without shock wave treatment. The eNOS and VEGF began to rise in as early as one week and remained high for 8 weeks, then declined at 12 weeks; whereas the increases of PCNA and neo-vessels began at 4 weeks and persisted for 12 weeks. In conclusion, shock wave therapy induces the ingrowth of neovascularization associated with early release of angiogenesis-related markers at the Achilles tendon bone junction in rabbits. The neovascularization may play a role to improve blood supply and issue regeneration at the tendon bone junction.

© 2003 Orthopaedic Research Society, Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keymurk: Shock wave therapy; Neovascularization; Anglogeneas; PNOS; VEGF; PCNA; Tendon hone junction

Introduction

In clinical application, extracorporeal shock wave therapy has shown effect in the treatment of certain orthopedic conditions including non-union of long bone fracture [21,30,32,37,39], calcifying tendonitis of the shoulder {15,27,31,33,42], lateral epicondylitis of the elbow [10,13,24,28,34], proximal plantar fasciitis [6,19,20, 25,29] and Achilles tendonitis [24]. The success of shock wave therapy in our experiences ranged from 80% for non-unions of long bone fractures [39] to 90% for tendinopathies of the shoulder, elbow and heel [6,13,42]. In patients with calcifying tendonitis of the shoulder, radiographs showed that 58% of the calcium deposits were completely eliminated after shock wave therapy [42]. In addition, the short-term results of shock wave therapy for avascular necrosis of the femoral head appeared encouraging [16]. Shock wave therapy also showed a positive effect in promoting bone healing in animal experiments [8,9,11,12,18,22,40,44,46].

Despite the success in clinical application, the exact mechanism of shock wave therapy remains unknown. In

¹Corresponding author. Tel.: 1886-7-733-5279; fax: +*88-7-733-5515

⁻ E-mail address: w281211/@adm.cgm/n.org.(w (K.D. Yang)

fracture non-unions, it was speculated that shock wave therapy produces micro-fracture which in turn causes bematoma formation and subsequent callus formation and eventual fracture healing [7,11,14,22]. For tendinopathy, it was postulated that shock wave therapy provokes painful level of stimulation, and relieves pain due to tendinopathy at the tendon-bone junction by hyper-stimulation analgesia [14,17.22,23,26.28,33,34, 37]. However, there were insufficient data to support either theory. The results of our experiments in dogs demonstrated that shock wave therapy enhanced neovascularization at the tendon bone junction [41]. Neovascularization was reported to be in association with painful tendinosis and may be responsible for pain in chronic Achilles tendinosis [3,25]. However, we speculated that the reasons for the dissolution of calcium deposits after shock wave therapy in calcifying tendonitis of the shoulder may involve a molecular mechanism of absorption due to improved blood supply. We further hypothesized that shock wave therapy may have the potential to induce the ingrowth of neovascularization and improvement of blood supply that lead to tissuc regeneration. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of shock wave therapy on neovascularization at the (endon-bone junction of the Achilles) tendons in rabbits.

Materials and methods

Animals and shock scare treatment

This study was approved by the institutional Review Board, and was performed under the guidelines and care of animals in research. Fifty New Zexland white rabbits with body weight ranging front 2.5 to 3.5 kg were used in this study. The right limb received shock wave therapy to the Achilles tendon near the insertion site to the heel bone, and was designated as the study side. The left limb received no shock wave therapy, and was designated as the control side.

The rabbit was greathetized with ketamine (50 mg/kg) and phenobarbital (30 mg/kg) for the purpose of receiving shock wave application. The rabbit was placed in prone position with the right leel up. The shock wave tube was focused on the Achilles tendon near the insertion site to the beel bone, and the depth of the treatment was determined by the control guide and confirmed with C-arm image. Surgreal lubricate was applied to the area of skin in contact with the shock wave tube. Each of the study limb received 500 impulses of shick waves at 14 kV (equivalent to 0.12 mJ/mm²) in 30 min to the right Achillos (ondon near the insertion site. The shock wave dosage so selected was based on the experience of our previous mimal studies. [40,43,44]. Immediately after shock wave therapy, the right limb was checked for swelling, edema, hemorrhage and motion of the itmb. No special protection on the right limb or restriction of activities was provided. The rabbit was returned to the housing cage and was cared for by a veterinarian.

Histomorphological assessment

Biopsies of the Achilles tendon, bone units were performed in 0, 1, 4, 8 and 12 weeks with 10 rabbits at each time interval. The first hopsy was obtained in 24 h after shock wave therapy. The specimens were fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde for 48 h and decaleified in PBSbuffered 10% EDTA. Decaleified specimens were longitudinally cut into 5-um sections and transformed to poly-lysine-coated slides. The number of blood vessels including capillaries and muscularized vessels, were examined microscopically, and quantitatively assessed in six areas of tendon, bone junction from three sections of the biopsy specimens. A professional pathologist biinded in the treatment regimen performed the measurements on all sections under 40 - magnification.

luanundustachemistry

For the purpose of confirming neovascularization, the angiogenic markers including vessel endothelial growth factor (VEGP) and endothelial nitrie exide synthase (eNOS) were examined microscopically with immunohistochemistry stains to reflect the mediators for neovascularization [5,35]. Detection of proliferating cell antigen (PCNA). was chosen to reflect endothelial cell replication [19]. Immuno-reactivity in specimens was demonstrated using horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-31-, 31-diaminobenzidine (DAB) cell and tissue staining kit (R&D Systems, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA) in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. After blocking endogenous peroxidase and non-specific binding, sections were incubated overnight with the antibodies at 4 °C. Polyclonal antibodies used for intramohistochemistry were anti-eNOS, anti-VEGF and anti-PCNA (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA). Sections were further incuhated with motinylated anti-goat IgG and then incubated with streptavidin conjugated to HRP. Immuno-reactivity was determined by incubating the sections in a chromogen solution containing DAB and 0.1% hydrogen peroxide in the dark, followed by counterstaining with hematoxylin. Dehydrate sections were mounted with mounting medium. Those without primary antibodies were enrolled as negative controls for the immunostaining. Vessels showing positive VEGF expression and cells displaying positive PCNA and eNOS expressions were counted microscopically. The numbers with positive expression were quantitatively assessed, and the averages were calculated from sixareas of tendon-hone junction selected from three sections of each biopsy specimen.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as mean L standard error. The numbers of vessels and tells displaying positive angiogenic markers at each time interval between the study side and the control side were compared statistically using Mann–Whitney test with statistical significance at p < 0.05.

Results

Increase of neo-vessels after shock wave treatment

The results of neo-vessels of the study and the control sides are summarized in Table 1. In the study side, a significant increase of neo-vessels was noted in 4 weeks, and the increase in neo-vessels persisted until 12 weeks, whereas no increase of neo-vessels was noted in the control side, and the difference in the number of neovessels was statistically significant. The microscopic features of neo-vessels of the study and the control sides are shown in Fig. 1. It appeared that formation of neovessels after shock wave therapy was time dependent starting in 4 weeks after treatment and it lasted for 12 weeks or longer.

Increase of angiogenic markers after shock wave therapy

The results of angiogenesis-related markets including eNOS, VEGF and PCNA are summarized in Table 2. In the study side, a significant increase in eNOS, VEGF

Table 2

Table) The effect of shock wave therapy on the ingrowth of neo-vessels

Time	Control (N = 50)	Shook wave $(N = 50)$	P-value
$\frac{\partial_{t} W_{0} ek}{Mean} \stackrel{*}{=} \frac{\delta V}{\delta D}$	22+3	24 ± 4	0.93
i-Wreek $: N = I0$, Mean \pm SD P-value*	24 ± 4 0.86	26 ± 5 0.92	0.95
$\begin{array}{l} 4\text{-}Week_{-}(N-10)\\ Mean=SD\\ P\text{-}value'' \end{array}$	22±5 1171	42 ÷ 4 0.1017	0.024
8-Week $\le N = 10$, Mean \pm SD P-value**	24±5 0.8≀	40±5 0.0025	0.021
12-Weck (N = 15) Mean 1 SD P-value '	25±6 0.92	42 _ 4 0.0082	0.017

P-values are based on Mann–Whitney test: (*) comparison of control with shock wave therapy; (**) comparison of 0-week with i-, 4-, 8- and 13-week.



Fig. 1. Tissue biopsies taken from the tendon bone junction were subject to filstomorphological staining after decale/fication. The neo-vessels on microscopy were examined with $40 \times$ magnification with the study side on the left, and the control side on the right.

and PCNA was noted in as early as one week. The increase of eNOS and VEGF lasted for 8 weeks before they declined to normal at 12 weeks, whereas the increase of PCNA lasted until 12 weeks. In the control side, however, no significant changes were noted in eNOS, VEGF and PCNA, and the differences between the study and control sides are statistically significant. The microscopic features of eNOS, VEGF and PCNA expressions of the study and the control sides are shown in Fig. 2. The changes in eNOS, VEGF and PCNA expressions at different time intervals are graphically illustrated in Fig. 3. The results of this study demonstrated that shock wave therapy induces early expressions of eNOS. VEGF and PCNA in one week, and subsequent ingrowth of neo-vessels in approximately 4 weeks. The increases of eNOS and VEGF were transient and only lasted for 8 weeks; whereas the stimulation of cell proliferation and the ingrowth of new vessels persisted for 12 weeks and longer. The biological responses after shock wave therapy appear to be time-dependent. Comparison of eNOS, VEGF and PCNA expressions between the study and control sides

lime	Control	Shock wave	P-value
	$(N_{\rm c}=50)$	(N 50)	
0 -Week $(N - l\theta)$			
eNOS	132×19	104 ± 21	0,57
VEGE	34 1 3	72 1 4	0.94
PCNA	145世初	132 + 74	0.75
$I_{\gamma}W_{trek}$ (N = 19)			
eNOS	124 ± 21	293 ± 31	<0.001
VEGE	17±4	33 ± 5	0.0068
PCNA	(35±37	332 ± 28	0.021
\neq -Week $(N - Bi)$			
eNOS	131:1:24	344 * 32	<0.001
VEGE	14 <u>1</u> 5	36 ± 6	41.0018
PCNA	134 ± 38	320 = 32	0.011
β -Work $(N = H)$			
eNOS	138 ± 36	365 ± 45	0.016
VEGE	15+4	28 ± 4	0.034
PCNA	167 ± 33	312 ± 36	0.024
12-Week (N = 10)			
eNOS	136 1 21	189 ± 42	0.71
VEGE	17 ± 5]6+4	0.84
PCNA	1.54 + 21	280 ± 28	0.034

P-values are based on Mann-Whitney test: P-value: Comparison of the control with the shock wave therapy.



Fig. 2. Tissue biopsics from the rendom hone junction were stained with mouse anti-human eNOS, VEGF and PCNA antibodies respectively, and followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody staining. The results were examined under microscopy with $40\times$ magnification with the study on the left, and the control on the right.



Fig. 3. The changes of cNO5. VEGF, and PCNA at different time intervals after shock wave therapy are shown graphically.

Discussion

Many authors had studied the mechanism of shock wave therapy [7–9.11,12,14,17,18,22–24,26,28,33,34,36, 38,40,41,43–46]. Some speculated that shock wave therapy relieves pain due to insertional tendinopathy by hyper-stimulation analgesia [14,22–24,28,37], while others hypothesized the theoretical mechanism of shock wave therapy in bone healing including micro-disruption of avascular or minimally vascular tissue to encourage revascularization and the recruitment of appropriate stem cells conductive to more normal bone tissue healing [11,12,14,18,22,43–46]. However, there are insufficient data to scientifically substantiate either theory concerning the mechanism of shock wave therapy in musculoskeletal disorders.

The etiologies of tendonitis are multi-factorial including degenerative changes, inflammatory process and metabolic disturbance with hypo-vascularity, neuronal origin as well as neovascularization in tendinosis [1-4,24,25]. Neovascularization was found to be in close relation to the area of tendinosis that corresponded to the painful area during Achilles loading. Therefore, neovascularization, rather than a "healing response", was thought to be responsible for the pain in the area with chronic Achilles tendinosis [3,25]. On the contrary, neovascularization, a direct effect of angiogenic factors secondary to extracorporeal shock wave therapy was found to be responsible for improvement in symptoms of plantar fasciitis [33,34]. In calcifying

tendonitis of the shoulder, shock wave therapy not only provides pain relief, it also eliminates calcium deposits [15,26,27,42]. The mechanical forces of shock wave therapy may theoretically cause fragmentation. but not total absorption of the calcium deposits. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that a biological process through a molecular mechanism of absorption may take place to dissolve the calcium deposits after shock wave therapy. Nitric oxide and VEGF had been demonstrated as important mediators of angiogenesis [5,35]. We suggest that physical shock waves could raise the mechanotransduction of the tissue to convert acoustic shock wave energy into biological signals. The results of this study showed that shock wave therapy induces the ingrowth of neo-vessels and tissue proliferation associated with the carly release of angiogenesis-related factors including eNOS and VEGF at the tendon-bone junction in rabbits. Therefore, the mechanism of shock wave therapy appears to involve the early release of angiogenic growth factors in one week. and induces cell proliferations and formation of neovessels in approximately four weeks at the tendorbone junction. The neovascularization may lead to the improvement of blood supply and play a role in tissue regeneration at the tendon bone junction. No adverse effect of neovascularization on rabbits was observed during the course of this study.

In non-unions of long bone fractures, the fracture sites are generally filled with dense, hypo-vascular fibrous tissues that prevent the fractures from bony union. Many studies in animal experiments had demonstrated that shock wave therapy can promote bone marrow stromal cell differentiation toward osteoprogenitors associated with induction of TGF- β I and induces membrane hyperpolarization and Ras activation to act as an early signal for the osteogenesis in human bone marrow stromal cells [36,43–46]. Other studies also showed a positive effect of shock wave therapy in promoting bone healing in animal experiments [8,11,12,18]. The results of this study showed that shock wave therapy promotes early release of angiogenic factors, and subsequently induces cell proliferations and ingrowth of neo-vessels that in turn may stimulate the stromal cell growth and differentiation and promote bone healing.

In conclusion, shock wave therapy induces the ingrowth of neovascularization and cell proliferation associated with earlier refease of angiogenic growth factors at the tendon bone junction of the Achilles tendon in rabbits. It appears that the mechanism of shock wave therapy involves the early release of angiogenic growth factors (eNOS and VEGF) and subsequent induction of neovascularization and tissue proliferation. The neovascularization may play a role in pain relief of tendinitis and the repair of chronically inflamed tendon tissues at the tendon bone junction.

Acknowledgements.

The study was supported by a grant from Chang Gung Research Fund (CMRP 905). No benefits in any form have been received or will be received from a commercial party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

References

- Ackernian PW, Jian L, Finn A, Ahmod M, Kreichergs A, Autonomic innervation of tendoris, ligaments and joint capsules: A morphologic ond quantitative study in the rat. J Orthop Res 2001;19:372-8.
- [2] Ackerman PW, Ahmed M, Kreiebergs A. Early nerve regeneration after Achilles tendon copture—a precequisite for healing. A study in the rat. J Orthop Res 2002;20:849–56.
- [3] Alfredson IJ, Bjur D, Thonsen K, Lorentzon R, High intracendinous lastate levels in painful tendinosis. An investigation using microdialysis technique. J Orthop Res 2002;20:934-8.
- [4] Archer RS, Bayley JI, Acher CW, et al. Coll and matrix changes associated with pathological calcification of the human rotator cuff tendoris. J Anat 1993;782 1–11
- [5] Babuei S. Stewart DJ. Overexpression of endothelial NO synthese induces angiogenesis in a co-culture model. Cardiovascular Res 2002;55:190–200.
- [6] Chen HS, Chen J.M. Huang TW. Treatment of painful heel syndrome with shock waves. Clin Orthop 2001;332:41-6.
- [7] Coloman AJ, Saunders JE. A review of the physical properties and biological effects of the high amplitude acoustic field used in extracorporeal athorripsy. Ultrasonics 1993(31:75-89.

- [8] Defins M, Draenen K, Al Dick Y, et al. Biological effect of shockwave: tw vivo effect of high-energy pulses on r8bbit bone. Ultrasound Med Biol 1995;21:1219–25
- [9] Forriol F, Solchaga L, Mareno JJ, et al. The effect of shockwaves on mature and healing cortical bane. Int Orthop 1994;18:325-9.
- [10] Hammer DS, Rupp S, Ensslar S, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy in patients with toncis elbow and painful heel. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2000;120:304–7.
- [11] Haupt G. Haupt A. Ekkernkamp A. et al. Influence of shockwave on fracture healing. J Urol (99339:579–32).
- [17] Johannes EJ, Kaalesar-Sukol DM, Motora E, et al. High-energy shock waves for the treatment of nonunions: An experiment on dogs, J Surg Res 1994;57:246–54.
- [12] Ko JY, Chen HS. Chen LM. Treatment of lateral epidondylitis of the elbow with shock waves. Con Orthop 2005(387)60-7.
- [14] Lingeman JE, McAteer JA, Kompson SA, et al. Bioeffects of extracorporeal shock-wave ii)thotripsy Strategy for research and treatment. Heal Chn North Am 1998;15:507–14.
- [15] Loew M, Daecke W, Kuznierozak D, et al. Shock-wave therapy is effective for chronic calcifying tondonitis of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg 1999;8(B:S6)-7.
- [16] Ludwig J, Lauber S, Lauber H-J, et al. High-onergy shock wave treatment of femoral head necrosis in adults. Clin Orthop 2001; 387:119–26.
- [17] Maler M. Milz S. Wirtz DC. Rompe JD, Schmitz C. Basic research of applying extracorportal shockwaves on the musculoskelotal system. An assessment of current status. Orthopolics 2002;31:667–77.
- [18] McConmack D, Long H, McElwain J. The osteogenic potential of extracorporeal shock wave therapy: An in-vivo study. I: J Med Sci 1996,165:20–2.
- [19] Nagashima M, Tanaka H, Takabashi A, Tanaka K, Ishiwata T, Asano G, et al. Study of the mechanism involved in angiogenesis and synovial cell proliferation in human synovial tissues of patients with rheumatoid arthritis esing SC4D mice. Lab Invest 2002;82(8):981–8.
- [20] Ogdon JA, Alvarez R. Levitt R, et al. Shock wave therapy for chronic proximal plantar fasciins. Clin Orthop 2001;387:47–59.
- (2f) Ogden JA, Alvarez R, Levit, R, et al, Shoek wave therapy (Orthotaipsy@) in musculoskeletal disorders. Clin. Orthop 2001; 387:02–40.
- [22] Ogden JA, Toth-Kischkat A, Schultheiss R, Principles of sheek wave therapy, Clin Orthop 2000(387)8–37.
- [23] Orhan Z, Alper M, Akman Y, Yavuz O, Yaleiner A, An experimental study on the application of extracorpareal shock waves in the treatment of tendor injuries. Preliminary report. J Orthop Sci 2001;6:566-70.
- [24] Porlick L. Schefmann R, Kraft CN, Wallny T, Diedrich O, Extracorporeal shock wave treatment of the Achilles rendonitis: Experimental and preliminary clinical results. Z Orthop thre Grienzgeb 2002;140(3):275–50.
- [55] Ohberg L, Alfredson H, Ultrasound guided sclerasis of neovessels in painful chronic Achilles tendonatis. Pilot study of a new treatment. Br J Sports Med 2002(36)173–5.
- [26] Rompe JD, Kirkpatrick CJ, Küllmer K, et al. Dose rolated effects of shock waves on rabbit tendon Achilles. A sonographic and histological study. J Bone Joint Surg 1998;80B:546–52.
- [27] Rompe JD, Borger R, Hopf C, et al. Shoulder function after extracorporeal shock wave rherapy for calcific tendonitis. J Shoulde: Elbow 1998;7:505–9.
- [28] Rompe JD, Hopf C, Kullmer K, et al. Analgesic effect of extracarporeal shock wave therapy on chronic tennis elbow. J Bone Joint Surg 1996;78B:233-7.
- [29] Rompe JD, Hopf C, Nato B, et al. Low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy for painful heel: A prospective controlled single-blind study. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1996:115, 75–9.

- [30] Rompe JD, Rosendahi T, Schollner C, et al, High-energy extracorporeal shock wave reasonent of nonunions. Chn Orthop 2001;387:102-11.
- [31] Rompe JD, Runder F, Hopf C, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for caloffying tendintis of the shoulder. Clin Orthop 1995;321:146–201.
- [32] Sebaden W, Fischer A, Saiffer A, Extracorporeal shock wave therapy of norumion or delayed esseous union. Clin Orthop 2001; 387:90–4.
- [33] Speed CA, Richards C, Nichols D, Burnet S, Wiles JT, Humphrey H, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for tendonitis of the rotator cutt. A double-hlund, randomized, controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg (Br) 2002;84:509–12.
- [34] Speed CA, Nichals D, Richards C, Humphrey SH, Wiles JT, Burnel S, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for lateral epicondylitis a double-blind, randomized, controlled (rial, J Orthop Res 2002;20:895-8.
- [35] Spyridopoulos I, Luedemart C, Chen D, Kearney M, Murohara T, Principe N, et al. Divergence of angiogenesis and vascular permeability signaling by VEGF, inhibition of protein kinase C suppresses VEGF-induced angiogenesis, but promotes VEGFmouced NO-dependent vascular permeability. Attentiosel Throm Vas Biol 20(2):22:907–6.
- [36] Subr. D., Brummer, F., Hulser, DF., Cavitation-generated free radicals during shock wave exposure investigations with coll-free solutions and suspended cells. Ultrasound Med Biol 1992;17:761– 8.
- [37] Thiel M. Application of shock waves in medicine. Clin Orthop 2002;387:18-21.

- [38] Vaterlein N, Lussenhop S, Hahn M, Delling G. Meiss AL. The effect of extracorporeal shock waves on joint cartilage – An in vivo study in Tabbits. Acta Orthop Trauma Surg. 2000;120:403–6.
- [39] Wang CJ, Chen HS, Chen CE, et al, Treatment of nonunions of long bone fractures with shock waves. Clin Orthop 2001:387:95 101.
- [40] Wang CJ, Huang HY, Chen HH, et al. Effect of shock wave therapy on acute fractures of the tibia. A study in a dog model. Clin. Orthop 2001;387:112–8.
- [41] Wang CJ, Huang HY, Pai CH. Shock wave therapy enhanced neovascularization at the tendon-bond junction: an experiment in dogs. J Trans Ankle Surg 2002;41:16–22.
- [42] Wang CJ, Ko JY, Chen HS, Treatment of calorybyg tendonitis of the shoulder with shock wave therapy. Clin Orthop 2001;387;83–0.
- [43] Wang FS, Wang CJ, Huang HC, et al. Physical shock wave mediates memorane hyperpolarization and Ras activation for osteogenesis in human bone marrow stromal cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2001;287:648–55.
- [44] Wang FS, Yang KD, Wang CJ, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave promotes bone marrow stromal cell growth and differentiation reward oscoprogenitors associated with TGF-β 1 induction. J Bone Joint Surg 2002;84B:457-61.
- [45] Wang FS, Wang CJ, Sheen-Chen SM, et al. Superoxide mediates shock wave induction of RRK-dependent oweogenic transcription factor (CBFA 1) and mesenchymal cells differentiation toward osteoprogenitors. J Biol Chem 2002;277:10931-7.
- [46] Yang C. Heston WDW, Gulati S. Fair WR. The effect of highenergy shock waves (HESW) on human bone marrow. Urol Res 1988;16:427–42.